

## GP Practice Data

### Key Points & Disclaimer:

1. EoLP regularly obtain and analyse data from EMIS via the CCG's business intelligence- Coding used can be provided to GP Practices if you wish to run your own independent report
2. Below is an anonymised example of how we can present your practice level data
3. Priority End of Life data fields referred to as High Level Objectives (HLO's) have been agreed between the commissioners and providers of the Palliative & End of Life Strategic Collaborative Cheshire
4. EoLP are continually working with GP Practices to better understand individual practice data and to make it both reflective and meaningful to 'actual' working practice- as a result there will be several changes made to the next round of reporting for Q3-Q4\*<sup>123</sup>

### Your data explained

**High Level Objective 1:**

Spot audit on 31/12/18 of 'living patients' on the GSF/with a GSF needs based code

Locality standard 0.45 %.

National Standard Find Your 1%

**High Level Objective 2:**

Deceased patients where a consent code for sharing end of life information has been ticked or on the end of life care register code used

**NB:** this coding was historically used by Public Health England to indicate a person had an EPaCCS but locally we are challenging this as not representing meaningful data.

**High Level Objective 3:**

Deceased patients with a locally defined 'meaningful EPaCCS':

- Identified as nearing end of life (GSF)
- Offered/ had an ACP conversation<sup>1</sup>
- CPR status recorded

Local Standard 35%

**High Level Objective 5 & 6:**

Deceased patients Identified as nearing end of life (GSF coded)<sup>2</sup> with

- ACP conversation coding<sup>1</sup> (HLO 5)
- CPR status recorded (HLO 6)

Local Standard 70%<sup>3</sup>

**High Level Objective 7:**

Deceased patients Identified as nearing end of life (GSF coded)<sup>2</sup> with

- Preferred Place of Death/Care recorded
- AND**
- Actual Place of Death recorded

Local Standard 60%<sup>3</sup>

	HLO 1 GSF living	HLO 2 deaths Consent codes	HLO 3 deaths GSF+ACP+ CPR codes	HLO 5 deaths GSF+ACP codes	HLO 6 deaths GSF+CPR codes	HLO 7 deaths GSF+PPoC/D AND PoD codes
<b>Micky Mouse Practice</b>						
<b>Standard</b>	0.45%	35%	35%	70%	70%	60%
<b>CCG overall</b>	0.31%	22.56%	11.51%	53.03%	71.42%	44.21%
<i>Care Community</i>	0.69%	33.75%	23.75%	68.75%	87.50%	59.38%
Practice 1	0.88%	23.08%	19.23%	83.33%	100.00%	100.00%
Practice 2	0.54%	37.50%	28.13%	75.00%	100.00%	72.150%
Practice 3	0.55%	40.91%	22.73%	57.14%	74.78%	38.24%

Locally set standard

Care Community level data

CCG level data

Practice level data

### What's changing for 2019-20 and why?

1. <sup>1</sup> ACP coding searches will now include preferred place of death/care 'locations' in response to feedback from Primary Care that location coding is evidence that a conversation has taken place
2. <sup>2</sup> HLO's 5, 6 and 7 will be reported against all deaths not just those identified as Palliative by a GSF code- new baselines are being established (June/July 2019) and local standards revised<sup>3</sup>
3. A new HLO 4 indicator will be added to measure % of all deaths that have a GSF needs based code i.e. that have been identified as nearing the end of life